- Parvez, M., Gul, W., Yousaf, M., Choudhary, M. I., Atta-ur-Rahman & Khan, M. R. (1999). Acta Cryst. C55, 213–215.
- Schalkwyk, T. G. D. van & Kruger, G. J. (1974). Acta Cryst. B30, 2261–2264.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). SHELXS97. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Tinant, B., Germain, G., Declercq, J.-P., van Meerssche, M., Ciccio, J. F. & Hoet, P. (1982). Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg. 91, 117-121.

Acta Cryst. (1999). C55, 2131-2132

# 1-Ethynylcyclohexylammonium acetate

Thomas Steiner,<sup>*a*</sup> Antoine M. M. Schreurs<sup>*b*</sup> and Jan Kroon<sup>*b*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Institut für Chemie – Kristallographie, Freie Universität Berlin, Takustraße 6, D-14195 Berlin, Germany, and <sup>b</sup>Bijvoet Center for Biomolecular Research, Department of Crystal and Structural Chemistry, Utrecht University, Padualaan 8, 3584 CH Utrecht, The Netherlands. E-mail: steiner@chemie. fu-berlin.de

(Received 27 August 1999; accepted 30 September 1999)

### Abstract

The title compound,  $C_8H_{14}N^+ \cdot CH_3CO_2^-$ , contains a short C=C-H···O hydrogen bond to the acetate ion with a C···O distance of 3.139 (2) Å and a normalized H···O distance of 2.11 Å. N-H···O and C=C-H···O hydrogen bonds are linked together to form a ribbon motif.

#### Comment

Terminal alkynes, R—C==C—H, are an interesting model system to study C—H···X hydrogen bonds (Steiner, 1998*a*). This is because the acetylenic C—H group is relatively acidic, and therefore one of the best hydrogen-bond donors of all C—H groups (Desiraju & Steiner, 1999). The title compound, (I), was prepared and its crystal structure was determined in expectation of finding a short hydrogen bond C==C-H···O<sup>-</sup>, which is one of the less well investigated kinds of C—H···O interaction.



In the crystal structure of (I), the cyclohexane ring adopts a chair conformation with the ammonium group in an equatorial and the ethynyl group in an axial position. The chair is almost undistorted with the ethynyl group close to perpendicular to the ring [angles  $C3 \cdots C1 - C7 = 95.6(1), C5 \cdots C1 - C7 = 95.7(1)^{\circ}$ ]. The geometry of the ethynyl group is normal with  $C7 \equiv C8 = 1.188(2)$  Å and  $C1 - C7 \equiv C8 = 179.2(1)^{\circ}$ .

As expected, the primary ammonium group forms three  $N^+ - H \cdots O^-$  hydrogen bonds to the acetate ion, with geometries as given in Table 1. The ethynyl group donates a hydrogen bond to the acetate ion too, Fig. 1, with a  $C \cdots O$  distance of 3.139 (2) Å. If the C—H bond is normalized to 1.08 Å, an H. O distance of 2.11 Å and a C-H···O angle of 159° are obtained. Even for an acetylenic donor, this is a short  $C - H \cdots O$  contact. For comparison, the mean distance in 145 C=C--H...O bonds in organic and organometallic structures has been found as  $H \cdots O = 2.40(2)$  Å, and  $C \cdots O =$ 3.35(1) Å (Steiner, 1998b). However, much shorter (C)H···O distances also occur in crystal structures of terminal alkynes. Examples are the adduct triphenylsilvlacetylene–triphenylphosphinoxide,  $H \cdot \cdot \cdot O = 1.99 \text{ Å}$ with a P=O acceptor (for normalized C-H; Steiner et al., 1997), 1:2:4 1,4-diethynylbenzene-water-triphenylphosphinoxide,  $H \cdot \cdot O = 1.96 \text{ Å}$  with an H<sub>2</sub>O acceptor (for normalized C-H; Kariuki et al., 1997), and 2ethynyladamantan-2-ol,  $H \cdot \cdot \cdot O = 2.07$  Å with a C—O— H acceptor [neutron diffraction study by Allen et al. (1996)]. It is interesting that these three examples are all in uncharged compounds.



Fig. 1. The molecular structure of (1) showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids.

The hydrogen-bond interactions of the acetate ion are shown in detail in Fig. 2. All four hydrogen bonds are directed at the oxygen lone-pair regions. It is obvious that the C=C-H···O bond acts as a full member in hydrogen-bond coordination of the ion, not just as a bystander. The covalent bond O11-C10 of the acetate ion [1.276 (2) Å] is slightly but significantly longer than O10-C10 [1.249 (2) Å]. Because in X-H···O=C bonds, O=C is lengthened, this would indicate that the sum of the hydrogen bonds accepted by O11 (two N<sup>+</sup>--H···O<sup>-</sup> bonds) is significantly stronger than the sum of the bonds accepted by O10 (one N<sup>+</sup>--H···O<sup>-</sup> and one C==C-H···O<sup>-</sup> bond). This is as to be expected. In the crystal lattice, symmetry-related hydrogen-bond motifs as shown in Fig. 1 are linked together to form a ribbon running in the direction of the *b* axis (vertical in Fig. 1).



Fig. 2. Hydrogen-bond interactions of the acetate ion drawn in projection onto the molecular plane.

### Experimental

Crystals were obtained by slow evaporation of a solution of 1-ethynylcyclohexylamine (Aldrich) in dilute acetic acid.

Crystal data

$C_{8}H_{14}N^{+} \cdot C_{2}H_{3}O_{2}^{-}$ $M_{r} = 183.25$ Monoclinic C2/c a = 24.573 (3)  Å b = 6.477 (1)  Å c = 17.435 (3)  Å $\beta = 128.72 (1)^{\circ}$ $V = 2165.0 (6) \text{ Å}^{3}$ Z = 8 $D_{x} = 1.124 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ $D_{m} \text{ not measured}$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å Cell parameters from 42 reflections $\theta = 3.3-17.9^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.078 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 125  K Block $0.45 \times 0.25 \times 0.25 \text{ mm}$ Colourless
Data collection	
Nonius KappaCCD diffrac- tometer $\varphi$ ( $\kappa = 0$ ) and $\omega$ ( $\kappa =$ 147.78) scans Absorption correction: none 10 253 measured reflections 2487 independent reflections	2098 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(l)$ $R_{int} = 0.079$ $\theta_{max} = 27.50^{\circ}$ $h = -31 \rightarrow 31$ $k = -8 \rightarrow 5$ $l = -18 \rightarrow 22$

## Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.049$  $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.40 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$  $wR(F^2) = 0.124$  $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.24 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ 

S = 1.095	Extinction correction:
2487 reflections	SHELXL97 (Sheldrick,
147 parameters	1997)
H atoms treated by a	Extinction coefficient:
mixture of independent	0.0039(13)
and constrained refinement	Scattering factors from
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0428P)^2]$	International Tables for
+ 2.445 <i>P</i> ]	Crystallography (Vol. C)
where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	

Table 1. Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	$\mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot \mathbf{A}$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D$ — $H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$		
$N1 - H1N \cdot \cdot \cdot O11^{i}$	0.94 (2)	1.83 (2)	2.756 (2)	169 (2)		
N1—H2N···O10	0.96 (2)	1.80(2)	2.758 (2)	176 (2)		
N1—H3N···O11 <sup>ii</sup>	0.93 (2)	1.84 (2)	2.758 (2)	169 (2)		
C8—H8· · ·O10 <sup>™</sup>	1.00	2.18	3.139 (2)	159		
Symmetry codes: (i) $\frac{1}{2} - x, y - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - z$ ; (ii) $x, y - 1, z$ ; (iii) $-x, 1 - y, -z$ .						

In the refinement, H atoms bonded to C atoms were treated as riding (C—H = 1.00 Å), with isotropic displacement parameters allowed to vary. The methyl group of the acetate ion was allowed to rotate. H atoms bonded to N atoms were located in difference Fourier calculations and refined isotropically. All H-atom displacement parameters refined to realistic values, with somewhat high values only for the acetate methyl group (ammonium-H atoms have U in the range 0.030– 0.043 Å<sup>2</sup>, cyclohexyl-H atoms have U in the range 0.018– 0.037 Å<sup>2</sup>, the ethynyl-H atom has U = 0.052 Å<sup>2</sup>, and the acetate methyl-H atoms have U in the range 0.102–0.113 Å<sup>2</sup>).

Cell refinement: EVAL14 (Duisenberg, 1998). Data reduction: EVAL14. Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1986). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997). Molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976). Software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.

TS thanks Professor Wolfram Saenger for giving him the opportunity to carry out part of this study in his laboratory.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SK1330). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

#### References

- Allen, F. H., Howard, J. A. K., Hoy, V. J., Desiraju, G. R., Reddy, D. S. & Wilson, C. C. (1996). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 118, 4081–4084.
- Desiraju, G. R. & Steiner, T. (1999). In *The Weak Hydrogen Bond in Structural Chemistry and Biology*. Oxford University Press.
- Duisenberg, A. J. M. (1998). PhD thesis, Utrecht University, The Netherlands.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Kariuki, B. M., Harris, K. D. M., Philp, D. & Robinson, J. M. A. (1997). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 119, 12679–12680.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1986). SHELXS86. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Steiner, T. (1998a). Advances of Molecular Structure Research, Vol. 4, edited by I. Hargittai & M. Hargittai, pp. 43-77. Stamford: JAI Press.
- Steiner, T. (1998b). New J. Chem. 22, 1099-1103.
- Steiner, T., van der Maas, J. & Lutz, B. (1997). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. 1287-1291.